

Appl. No. 10/758,083  
Amdt. Dated 06/06/2005  
Reply to Office Action of February 16, 2005

### REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

In the outstanding Office Action, claims 39 - 41 were allowed, and claims 44 and 46 were merely objected to. In response to the Office Action, claims 4-8, 10, 12 and 14-28 have been canceled, certain other claims amended and new claims 48-52 have been added.

The Examiner correctly pointed out the misdescriptiveness of claims 10 and 12 and they have been canceled herein.

Claims 25 and 27 have also been canceled herein. However claims 29 and 42 are not worded in the same way as claim 1, and therefore it is believed that claims 36, 38, 44 and 46 are not misdescriptive. In that regard, claim 42 has been amended herein to limit the claim to a p-channel device in accordance with the indication of allowable subject matter in claims 44 and 46. Accordingly reconsideration of the rejection of these claims as well as amended claim 42 is requested.

Allowed claims 39 through 41 were stated as being allowable because the prior art fails to teach the body of the transistor is connected to its drain with the combination of elements as claimed. In response, independent claims 1 and 29 have been amended to include the limitation that the body is connected to the drain. Accordingly these claims and the claims depending thereon are now also believed allowable.

Finally, new claim 48 is a rewrite of claim 1, but amended to be limited to a p-channel device and corrected for the indefiniteness of claim 1 when applied to p-channel devices as noted by the examiner. Accordingly this claim is believed allowable for the same reasons claims 44 and 46 were indicated as containing allowable subject matter. The new dependent claims depending on claim 48 are also believed allowable as adding further specificity and novelty to the claimed subject matter.

Appl. No. 10/758,083  
Amdt. Dated 06/06/2005  
Reply to Office Action of February 16, 2005

### CONCLUSION

Applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP

Dated: 06/06/2005

By

  
Roger W. Blakely, Jr.

Reg. No. 25,831

Tel.: (714) 557-3800 (Pacific Coast)

12400 Wilshire Boulevard, Seventh Floor  
Los Angeles, California 90025

---

#### CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/TRANSMISSION (37 CFR 1.8A)

I hereby certify that this correspondence is, on the date shown below, being:


##### MAILING

☐ deposited with the United States Postal Service  
as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:  
Commissioner for Patents, PO Box 1450,  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Date: 06/06/2005

##### FACSIMILE

☒ transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and  
Trademark Office.

  
Jessica A. Clark

6/6/2005  
Date